

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

Michael Jones,)	
)	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 23-cv-4975
)	
City of Chicago, Bryan Cox, Peter)	<i>(Judge Gottschall)</i>
Theodore, David Salgado, and)	
Rocco Pruger,)	
)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	

JOINT INITIAL STATUS REPORT

The parties, by counsel, files this Joint Initial Status Report pursuant to the Court's Order of September 29, 2023:

1. Attorneys of record:
 - Plaintiff is represented by Joel A. Flaxman and Kenneth N. Flaxman of the Law Office of Kenneth N. Flaxman.
 - Defendant City of Chicago is represented by Shneur Z. Nathan, Avi Kamionski, Helen O'Shaughnessy, and Breana Brill of Nathan & Kamionski, LLP.
 - Defendant Salgado is represented by Timothy P. Scahill, Steve B. Borkan, Whitney N. Hutchinson, and Kathryn E. Boyle of Borkan & Scahill, Ltd.

- Defendants Cox, Theodore, and Pruger are represented by Larry S. Kowalczyk and Megan K. Monaghan of Querrey & Harrow, Ltd.

2. Basis of this Court's subject matter jurisdiction: Federal jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1343 because of plaintiff's claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Jurisdiction over plaintiff's state law malicious prosecution claims is based on supplemental jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Nature and scope of the case: Plaintiff claims that the defendant police officers falsely arrested him for a drug offense in 2015, and that he was wrongfully prosecuted and wrongfully imprisoned. Plaintiff claims that the officers' conduct was caused by alleged policies and practices within the Chicago Police Department. Defendants deny all wrongdoing.

4. Status of service: All defendants have waived service and appeared.

5. Consent to the designated magistrate judge: The parties do not unanimously consent to the reassignment of the case.

6. Jury trial: Plaintiff has demanded a jury trial. Defendants are expected to demand a jury trial.

7. Discovery: No discovery has occurred. The parties agree that discovery should be stayed while defendant's motions to dismiss are pending.

8. Settlement: The parties will discuss settlement when they have more information.

9. Deadline for filing the next status report: The parties propose that they file the next status report after the Court rules on defendant's motion to dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joel A. Flaxman

Joel A. Flaxman
ARDC No. 6292818
Kenneth N. Flaxman
200 S Michigan Ave Ste 201
Chicago, IL 60604-2430
(312) 427-3200
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Breana Brill (by consent)

Shneur Z. Nathan
Avi Kamionski
Helen O'Shaughnessy
Breana Brill
Special Assistant Corporation Counsel
Nathan & Kamionski, LLP
33 W. Monroe St., Suite 1830
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 612-1955
bbrill@nklawllp.com
Attorneys for Defendant City of Chicago

/s/ Whitney N. Hutchinson (by consent)

Timothy P. Scahill
Steve B. Borkan
Whitney N. Hutchinson
Kathryn E. Boyle

Borkan & Scahill, Ltd.
20 S. Clark Street, Ste 1700
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 580-1030
whutchinson@borkanscahill.com
Counsel for Defendant David Salgado

/s/ Larry S. Kowalczyk (by consent)
Larry S. Kowalczyk - Special Assistant
Corporation Counsel
Megan K. Monaghan - Special
Assistant Corporation Counsel
Querrey & Harrow, Ltd.
120 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2600
Chicago, Illinois 60602
312/540-7000
lkowalczyk@querrey.com
*Counsel for Defendants Officer Cox,
Sgt. Theodore, and Detective Pruger*