

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

MICHAEL JONES,

Plaintiff,

V.

CITY OF CHICAGO, BRYAN COX, PETER  
THEODORE, DAVID SALGADO, and  
ROCCO PRUGER,

Defendants.

Case No. 2023-cv-4975

District Judge Joan B. Gottshcall

**DEFENDANT OFFICER SALGADO'S MOTION TO JOIN CO-DEFENDANT CITY  
OF CHICAGO'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

NOW COMES Defendant, DAVID SALGADO, by and through his attorneys, Timothy P. Scahill, Steve B. Borkan, Whitney N. Hutchinson, and Kathryn E. Boyle, of BORKAN & SCAHILL, LTD., hereby submit his Motion to Joint Co-Defendant City of Chicago's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, stating as follows:

1. Plaintiff, Michael Jones, has filed his Complaint alleging various Section 1983 claims against Defendant Officers, including Defendant Salgado, as well as *Monell* and Malicious Prosecution claims against Defendant City. Plaintiff's instant Complaint stems from circumstances and events surrounding Plaintiff's March 31, 2015, arrest, and subsequent criminal case.

2. Co-Defendant, City of Chicago, has filed its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for reasons that are equally applicable to Defendant Officer Salgado. First, Plaintiff's Complaint completely runs afoul of Rule 8 with his use of group pleading. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Plaintiff's use of group pleading fails to provide adequate notice to Defendant Salgado as to which exact claims are being brought against him versus the other co-defendants. In tandem with group pleading, Plaintiff

also fails to satisfy Section 1983's threshold requirement of personal involvement. In his Complaint, Plaintiff fails to adequately identify and tie a plausible prospect of relief to Defendant Salgado.

3. Next, while difficult to discern what claims seeks to bring against Defendant Salgado, to the extent he seeks to bring a Fourteenth Amendment claim for false arrest, his claim is barred by the statute of limitations.

4. Additionally, to the extent Plaintiff seeks to bring Section 1983 claims for failure to intervene and conspiracy against Defendant Salgado, his efforts also fail as these claims are not properly pled because Plaintiff has failed to plead any underlying constitutional violation.

5. Accordingly, Defendant Salgado respectfully requests to joint Co-Defendant City's Motion to Dismiss and adopt the arguments therein because these arguments are equally applicable to Defendant Salgado.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, DAVID SALGADO, respectfully requests that this Court permits him to join Co-Defendant City of Chicago's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, and for any other relief this Court deems necessary and just.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID SALGADO,

By: s/ Whitney N. Hutchinson  
Special Assistant Corporation Counsel

Timothy P. Scahill  
Steve B. Borkan  
Whitney N. Hutchinson  
Kathryn E. Boyle  
BORKAN & SCAHILL, LTD  
20 S. Clark Street, Ste 1700  
Chicago, IL 60603  
(312) 580-1030  
[tscahill@borkanscahill.com](mailto:tscahill@borkanscahill.com)  
[sborkan@borkanscahill.com](mailto:sborkan@borkanscahill.com)  
[whutchinson@borkanscahill.com](mailto:whutchinson@borkanscahill.com)  
[kboyle@borkanscahill.com](mailto:kboyle@borkanscahill.com)

*Counsel for Defendant, David Salgado*

