

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

MICHAEL JONES,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 23-cv-04975
	)	
v.	)	
	)	Joan Gottschall, District Court Judge
CITY OF CHICAGO, et al.,	)	
	)	Jeffrey Cole, Magistrate Judge
Defendants.	)	

**DEFENDANT CITY OF CHICAGO’S UNOPPOSED  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE IN EXCESS**

The City of Chicago (the “City”), by and through its attorneys, Nathan & Kamionski LLP, Special Assistant Corporation Counsel for the City of Chicago, hereby submits its motion for leave to file its motion to dismiss brief in excess. In support of its motion, the City states as follows:

1. This lawsuit, which stems from Plaintiff’s March 31, 2015, arrest for drug possession, alleges various constitutional and state law claims. *See* Dkt. #1. Plaintiff asserts the following claims against the Defendant Officers: false arrest under the Fourth Amendment, unlawful detention without probable cause under the Fourth Amendment, fabrication of evidence under the Fourteenth Amendment, and derivative claims of conspiracy and failure to intervene. Plaintiff is additionally alleging a *Monell* claim and state-law malicious prosecution claim against the City. *Id.*
2. Defendant Officers and the City are jointly moving to dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint.
3. Local Rule 7.1 provides that no “brief in support of ... any motion ... shall exceed 15 pages without prior approval of the court.” NDIL-LR 7.1.
4. In order for the Defendants to jointly and adequately raise its arguments in response to Plaintiff’s claims and allegations, the City’s brief requires seventeen (17) pages.

5. Local Rule 7.1 further provides that a brief exceeding “the 15 page limit must have a table of contents with the pages noted and a table of cases.” NDIL-LR 7.1.

6. The City’s brief will contain a table of contents with the pages noted and a table of cases to comply with Local Rule 7.1.

7. Counsel for the City conferred with Plaintiff’s counsel via email on October 20, 2023, and Plaintiff’s counsel does not oppose this motion.

8. Thus, based on the good cause shown, in order to fully brief the Court on the Defendants’ arguments, the City requests that it be given leave to file its brief in support of its motion to dismiss, comprising of seventeen (17) pages in length.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests this Honorable Court to grant it leave to file a brief in excess of fifteen pages and for such other relief which this Court deems proper.

Dated: October 20, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Breana Brill

Shneur Z. Nathan, Avi Kamionski, Helen  
O’Shaughnessy, and Breana Brill  
Special Assistant Corporation Counsel  
NATHAN & KAMIONSKI, LLP  
33 W. Monroe St., Suite 1830  
Chicago, IL 60603  
(312) 612-1955  
bbrill@nklawllp.com

*Attorneys for Defendant City of Chicago*