

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

ALEXANDER GRAY,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF EVANSTON, EVANSTON
POLICE OFFICERS KUBIAK, KANE,
POPP, ROSENBAUM, AND
POGORZELSKI,

Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-1931

Judge Steven C. Seeger

**DEFENDANT’S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO
PLAINTIFF’S SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Defendants, by their attorneys, respectfully submits this motion for an extension to respond to Plaintiff's summary judgment motion and to file Defendant's summary judgment motion, stating as follows:

1. On December 18, 2023, the Court entered the following scheduling order with respect to the parties' cross motions for summary judgment: "Plaintiff's motion is due by January 26, 2024. Defendants' response and their cross motion is due by February 26, 2024. Plaintiff's reply and response to Defendants' motion is due by March 11, 2024. Defendants' reply in support of their motion is due by March 2, 2024" ("Scheduling Order") (Dkt. #28)

2. Defendants seek a one-week extension of time to file their response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and to file Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

3. Additionally, per the Scheduling Order, Defendants' reply in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment is due by March 2, 2024, which is nine (9) days before Plaintiff's response to said Motion is due.

4. Since Plaintiff filed his Motion for Summary Judgment on January 26, 2024, the undersigned has been required devote substantial time and attention to preparing and filing two (2) Motions for Summary Judgment and a Response to a Motion for Summary Judgment in three (3) separate cases before this Court. As a result, the undersigned requires an additional week to prepare and file Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

5. Consistent with Defendants request made herein for an extension of time, Defendants respectfully request the Court enter the following briefing schedule on the parties' cross motions for summary judgment:

March 4, 2024 – Defendants to file their (a) Motion for Summary Judgment, and (b) Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment;

March 18, 2024 – Plaintiff to file his (a) Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment; and Reply to Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment; and

April 1, 2024 - Defendants to file their Reply to Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

6. Plaintiffs do not oppose this Motion.

Wherefore, Defendants respectfully requests that this Court revise the briefing schedule with respect to Plaintiff and Defendants cross motions for summary judgment set forth above.

Date: February 22, 2024,

Respectfully Submitted,
DEFENDANTS

/s/ John R. Stortz
John R. Stortz

James V. Daffada
Thomas More Leinenweber
John R. Stortz
Leinenweber Daffada & Sansonetti LLC
120 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2000

Chicago, Illinois 60602

jrs@ilesq.com

On Behalf of Defendants