

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

SEAN McCLENDON

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF CHICAGO, et al.,

Defendants,

Hon. Sharon Coleman

No. 1:22-cv-05472

**THE CITY OF CHICAGO'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND, IF NEEDED,
RESET HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO QUASH**

On July 12th, Plaintiff filed a motion to quash subpoenas for prison call recordings that the City of Chicago intends to serve on third parties. (*See* dkt. 39.) The Court originally ordered the City's response due on July 19th and scheduled a hearing for July 25th at 10:00 a.m. (*See* dkt. 40.) Per the City's unopposed request, the Court extended the City's response date to August 2nd and rescheduled the hearing for August 8th. (*See* dkt. 43.) In the interim, the City has attempted to obtain information from the Illinois Department of Corrections that might help the parties resolve this dispute, but that information has not yet been obtained.

The City now asks the Court to extend its response deadline to August 4th. The parties are still able to attend the August 8th hearing, but if the Court chooses to reschedule the hearing to permit more time to read the parties' briefs, the parties are available on August 14th and 15th for a reset hearing (per the Court's civil motion hearing schedule). Plaintiff has no objection to this Motion.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully asks that the Court (1) extend the City's response deadline to August 4th, and (2) if needed to read the parties' briefs before the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion, reset the hearing to August 14th or 15th.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary B. Richardson-Lowry
Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago,

/s/ Brian Wilson
Special Assistant Corporation Counsel
NATHAN & KAMIONSKI, LLP
33 W. Monroe, Suite 1830
Chicago, IL, 60603
312-957-6649
bwilson@nklawllp.com

Attorneys for Defendant City of Chicago