

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

DOMINIQUE TURNER, et al,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 21-cv-704
)	
vs.)	Honorable Thomas M. Durkin
)	
CITY OF CHICAGO, et al,)	Magistrate Judge Jeffrey Cummings
)	
Defendants.)	

**DEFENDANT CITY OF CHICAGO’S UNOPPOSED MOTION
FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A RESPONSIVE PLEADING**

Defendant, City of Chicago (“Defendant City”), by and through its attorneys, Celia Meza, Acting Corporation Counsel for the City of Chicago, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A), hereby moves this honorable Court to extend the time by 35 days (from April 26, 2021 to May 31, 2021) to answer the complaint. In support thereof, Defendant City states as follows:

1. On February 8, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint [ECF 1]. Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and allege that Defendants violated their Constitutional rights. *Id.* Plaintiffs also bring additional claims under Illinois law. *Id.*
2. On March 8, 2021, Defendants were given an extension of time to answer by April 26, 2021. [ECF 10].
3. On April 26, 2021, Defendant Officers were granted an extension of time to answer by May 31, 2021. [ECF 14]. Counsel for Defendant City may need to interview the Defendant Officers in order to respond to the complaint. As the Defendant Officers have their own representation, Defendant City respectfully request that it being given the same extension of time to answer the complaint, to May 31, 2021.

4. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6 provides that: “[w]hen an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time . . . if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). Such an extension is warranted in this case.

5. This motion is brought in good faith and is not intended to cause undue delay. No prejudice will result to Plaintiffs by the granting of this motion.

6. Counsel for Defendant City, Kyle Rockershousen, contacted Plaintiffs’ counsel, Joel Flaxman, via e-mail regarding this motion. Plaintiffs’ counsel stated that Plaintiffs have no objection to the requested extension.

WHEREFORE, for the above and foregoing reasons, Defendant City respectfully requests this honorable Court to grant it an extension of time to Answer by May 31, 2021.

Dated: April 26, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Kyle A. Rockershousen

Kyle A. Rockershousen, Assistant Corporation Counsel
Joi Kamper, Assistant Corporation Counsel Supervisor
City of Chicago - Department of Law
2 N. LaSalle, Suite 420
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 744-0742
Kyle.Rockershousen@CityofChicago.org