

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE Northern District of Illinois – CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 6.3.3
Eastern Division**

Derrick Schaeffer

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 1:19-cv-07711

Honorable Robert M. Dow Jr.

City of Chicago, et al.

Defendant.

NOTIFICATION OF DOCKET ENTRY

This docket entry was made by the Clerk on Wednesday, August 12, 2020:

MINUTE entry before the Honorable Jeffrey T. Gilbert: This case have been referred to Magistrate Judge Gilbert for all discovery motions and supervision and settlement conference [48]. The Court has reviewed the parties' Joint Status Report [39] filed on 6/4/20. The previously set date of 8/14/20 [40] for the parties to file a revised joint status report shall stand as the Court assumes the parties already are engaged in the process of preparing that report. In addition to the topics included in the Court's order of 6/6/20 [40] (i.e., a proposed date for the close of fact discovery and an assessment of whether settlement is a realistic possibility in this case), the parties' joint status report also shall address at least the following topics: (a) whether the parties have served responses to each other's written discovery requests (including without limitation by producing documents) and, if not, when responses are due to be served and when document production will be complete if it is not already complete; (b) whether there are any disputes about either side's responses to the other's written discovery as of now and, if so, whether the parties are engaged in efforts to resolve those disputes in accordance with Local Rule 37.2, and when the parties propose that process will conclude; (c) if the parties anticipate they will need to submit any ripe discovery disputes to the Court for resolution, then they shall propose a date for filing of any such motions and a briefing schedule for those motions; (d) how many depositions each party anticipates taking, the identity of the deponents to the extent they are reasonably ascertainable now, and, to the extent possible, when the parties anticipate taking those depositions with confirmed or at least proposed deposition dates or date ranges; (e) whether the parties agree to take depositions by remote means if a witness or counsel for a party or witness prefer to conduct depositions in that way for safety or other reasons; and (f) a date by which Defendants will respond to Plaintiff's settlement proposal if they have not already done so. The Court notes it will need this information to evaluate whether the parties' proposed discovery close date is reasonable. If the parties need more time to prepare an updated joint status report that addresses all the topics referenced in this order and in the 6/4/20 order [40], then they should jointly contact the Court's courtroom deputy with a request for a reasonable extension of time within which to do so. An email request to the Court's courtroom deputy from counsel for all parties is sufficient for these purposes. Mailed notice(ber,)

ATTENTION: This notice is being sent pursuant to Rule 77(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Rule 49(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. It was generated by CM/ECF, the automated docketing system used to maintain the civil and criminal dockets of this District. If a minute order or other document is enclosed, please refer to it for additional information.

For scheduled events, motion practices, recent opinions and other information, visit our web site at www.ilnd.uscourts.gov.