

EXHIBIT 50

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
3 ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION
4 JUDGE FRANKLIN U. VALDERRAMA
5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHEILA M. FINNEGAN
6 MASTER DOCKET CASE NO. 19-CV-01717
7
8
9
10

11 IN RE: WATTS COORDINATED PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 DEPONENT: GARRY MCCARTHY

24 DATE: JUNE 14, 2023

25 REPORTER: SYDNEY LITTLE

APPEARANCES

ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, RICKEY HENDERSON, SHAUN
JAMES, JAMAR LEWIS, TAURUS SMITH:

Joshua Tepfer, Esquire

Loevy & Loevy

311 North Aberdeen Street,

Third Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60607

Telephone No.: (312) 243-5900

E-mail: josh@loevy.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, FLAXMAN PLAINTIFFS:

Joel Flaxman, Esquire

Collin Gill, Esquire

Kenneth N. Flaxman, P.C.

200 South Michigan Avenue

Suite 201

Chicago, Illinois 60604

Telephone No.: (312) 427-3200

E-mail: jaf@kenlaw.com

cjg@kenlaw.com

1 Q. And why was that? Why was there nothing
2 written?

3 MR. BURNS: Objection. Form.

4 A. I -- I'm not a big paper person. I want to
5 hear it if I have questions about it. And then if
6 there's any paper, like a picture or something like
7 that, it all went back.

8 BY MR. FLAXMAN:

9 Q. And did you ever take notes about the
10 meetings?

11 A. No.

12 Q. You also talked about this issue where your
13 department was trying to get boxes from the federal
14 investigation every so often. You remember that?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you ever communicate with federal
17 authorities about the boxes?

18 A. I may have said something to [REDACTED]. I
19 don't recall. But I -- I do know this very
20 specifically. I asked [REDACTED], is there anything else
21 to this? And he said, absolutely not. It's just these
22 two officers. And when we tried to obtain more
23 information, we couldn't obtain it because federal
24 protections for the documents prevented that from
25 happening.

1 Q. And did you try to obtain that information
2 after [REDACTED] told you that it was just these two
3 officers?

4 A. I believe we tried for a while to -- to Bob
5 Klimas because there was some sort of a vetting that
6 they -- the feds were talking about. And, eventually, I
7 don't think we got anything.

8 Q. Well, when you say there was some sort of
9 vetting, what do you mean?

10 A. In other words, they would -- you know, they
11 would take them and redact things and things like that.
12 But they never did any of it for us. To my
13 recollection, we got absolutely nothing.

14 Q. And given that [REDACTED] had told you that
15 there's nothing else, it's just these two officers, why
16 did you want that other information?

17 A. To ensure that there was nothing else. And,
18 like I said, you know, the -- the FBI was looking at
19 criminal charges. That doesn't mean that we weren't
20 going to pursue administrative charges if there was
21 something there for that.

22 Q. And was it -- did you say that it was Klimas
23 who was the one who was mostly responsible for trying to
24 get that information?

25 A. I wouldn't say responsible. He was the guy